



Balancing wood production and biodiversity conservation in Pan-European boreal forests



Michael Manton and Per Angelstam





Outline

Boreal forest

Competing benefits Wood production



Biodiversity conservation Birds as focal species

Solutions???







Ecosystem services

Provisioning services - products obtained from ecosystems such as food, fresh water, wood, fiber, genetic resources and medicines

Supporting services - importance of ecosystems to provide habitat for species and human wellbeing

Regulating services - processes such as climate regulation, natural hazard regulation, water purification and waste management, pollination or pest control

Cultural services - non-material benefits that people obtain from ecosystems such as spiritual enrichment, intellectual development, recreation and aesthetic values



Ecosystem services



Baltic-American Freedom Foundation





European Boreal Forest













Different development trajectories of forest production







Mean wood production M³/y 2000-2010 (EFI 2015)







Good example of different forest intensification







Forest age distribution



White bars = Deciduous





Intensity of wood production







Current harvesting/wood mining in Komi







Another story in Lithuania

Wood production, independence and reforms







LT wood production trends since independence







LT Wood production trends since independence







Value add product Eur/ha





Eurostat



Wood development opportunities in Lithuania



- Current harvesting levels in Lithuania are below the maximum sustainable removal
- Intensive forest management would make it possible to increase the maximum sustainable removal by 15%
- There is room for expansion of industry and energy uses of wood









Consequences on biodiversity?



- Land degradation
- Losses in biodiversity
- Changes in species composition
- Triggered policies
- International, national and local initiatives
- EU Green Infrastructure policy
- Convention of Biological Diversity
- Sveaskog Ekoparks in Sweden





Green Infrastructure



- Strategically planned network of natural and seminatural areas
- Managed to deliver a wide range of ecosystem services
- GI is present in rural and urban settings
- Includes forest for wood production and the conservation of biodiversity

EU 2013





Convention of Biological Diversity Aichi targets



Target 7 By 2020 areas under agriculture, aquaculture and <u>forestry are managed</u> <u>sustainably</u>, ensuring conservation of biodiversity



Target 11

By 2020, at least <u>17 %</u> of terrestrial areas of importance for biodiversity and ecosystem services, are conserved through effectively and equitably managed, <u>ecologically representative</u> and <u>well-connected systems of</u> <u>protected areas</u> and other effective area-based conservation measures





Sveaskog Ekoparks

37 Large contiguous landscapes (approx. 5000 ha each)

High conservation values

High ecological ambitions (> 50 %)

Active management

Yes forestry is permitted (< 50 %)







Birds as focal species



Naumov et al. 2018





Balancing wood production and biodiversity conservation





Wood production vs. biodiversity









Modelling high conservation value forest in Sweden





Conclusions



Intensive forestry and biodiversity conservation are <u>competing</u> <u>objectives</u>

The net effect of <u>biodiversity conservation efforts</u> (tree retention, voluntary set-asides, protected areas) <u>are not enough</u>

Europe provides researches and managers a real playground to learn

<u>Collaborative learning is needed (=landscape approach),</u> combined with all policy <u>implementation tools</u>

Land sparing vs. land sharing approaches





Forest ponderings



What do we want?

What does society want?

Are forest more than just EUROS

What is biodiversity worth?

What holds for our grandchildren?





An example - Bavarian State Forestry Germany 2005

Conserve nature – Improve profits – Serve society

No clear cutting and minimise planting

Selective cutting with natural regeneration

Revenue is stable

Forests are returning natural mixed stands

Increased biodiversity















Large herbivore occurence







Need a multiple target approach





Thank you & Question



Naumov, V., M. Manton, M. Elbakidze, Z. Rendenieks, J. Priednieks, S. Uhlianets, T. Yamelynets, A. Zhivotovg, and P. Angelstam. 2018. How to reconcile wood production and biodiversity conservation? The Pan-European boreal forest history gradient as an "experiment". Journal of Environmental Management

Angelstam, P., V. Naumov, M. Elbakidze, M. Manton, J. Priednieks, and Z. Rendenieks. 2018. Wood production and biodiversity conservation are rival forestry objectives in Europe's Baltic Sea Region. Ecosphere **9**

Angelstam, P., S. Pedersen, and M. Manton. 2018. Macroecological Research in Boreal Forest Reveals the Effects of Moose on Economically and Ecologically Important Tree Species. Lesnoy zhurnal [Forestry journal] **4**:9-18.

Manton, M., and P. Angelstam. 2018. Defining Benchmarks for Restoration of Green Infrastructure: A Case Study Combining the Historical Range of Variability of Habitat and Species' Requirements. Sustainability **10**:326.